

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,991	04/05/2001	Shinjiro Okada	684.3175	3743
5514 75	590 03/25/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
• • •	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		NGUYEN, HOAN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
		DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.00	09/825,991	OKADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 J</u>	lanuary 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊡ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	· •				

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to <u>Amended claims</u> 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Therefore, this is Final action.

Applicant cancelled claims 5-6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikarashi et al. (US5115329A.

Ikarashi et al. teach (Fig. 1, col. 2 line 38 to col. 3 line 66) a conductive liquid crystal device comprising:

- a pair of oppositely disposed electrodes 2/4,
- at least two organic layers 3 including
  - o a liquid crystalline organic layer 7,
  - o an organic luminescence layer 6/5

disposed between the electrodes.

#### wherein

 the liquid crystalline organic layer has plural regions having different electroconductivities.

Art Unit: 2871

the organic luminescence layer emits luminescences by receiving charges (electric field) supplied by the plural regions of the liquid crystalline organic layer having different electroconductivities <u>due to different electric fields between strip</u> electrodes 2 and electrode 4.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lkarashi et al. (US5115329A).

It is conventional art that a conductive liquid crystal device wherein

- the plural regions have different alignment states of liquid crystal molecules for generating multi-domains for increasing the view angle (claim 2)
- the different alignment states of liquid crystal molecules have been formed by laser light or UV irradiation of the liquid crystalline organic layer for hardening the liquid crystalline organic layer (claim 3).
- the different alignment states of liquid crystal molecules have been formed by voltage application to the liquid crystalline organic layer for modulating light (claim 4).

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a conductive liquid crystal device as as Ikarashi et al. disclosed with (a) the plural regions have different alignment states of liquid crystal molecules for generating multi-domains to increase the view angle (claim 2), (b) the different alignment states of liquid crystal molecules have been formed by laser light or UV irradiation of the liquid crystalline organic layer for hardening the liquid crystalline organic layer (claim 3); (c) the different alignment states of liquid crystal molecules have been formed by voltage application to the liquid crystalline organic layer for modulating light (claim 4).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SIKES L WILLIAM can be reached on (703) 308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-8178 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn March 24, 2003

SUPETION AND THE TEST AND THE T